

To: Walt Sanders[wsanders@vmgthehill.com]
From: McQueen, Jacqueline
Sent: Fri 12/4/2015 12:23:44 PM
Subject: Re: Question

Good morning, Walt.

The letter is close to being finalized after revisions were made. Now it will go through all of the internal reviews related to congressional responses. I know it has been a long time. We are close to having it out of the door. I will e:mail you a copy when it is finalized, signed and sent over to the Hill.

Jackie

From: Walt Sanders <wsanders@vmgthehill.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 3, 2015 3:27 PM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline
Subject: RE: Question

The last official extension the committee gave was until 11/13. What's ever happened to the response?

From: McQueen, Jacqueline [mailto:McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 4:55 PM
To: Walt Sanders
Subject: RE: Question

When we have a signed, final letter, I can provide it. We have a one week extension to 11/13.

From: Walt Sanders [mailto:wsanders@vmgthehill.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 05, 2015 4:50 PM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Question

Would it be possible for me to receive a copy of the EPA response to the Committee letter? If so, who should I ask?

From: McQueen, Jacqueline [<mailto:McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 7:54 AM
To: Rom Reddy
Cc: Walt Sanders
Subject: RE: Question

Hi Rom and thanks.

What I am trying to determine is whether this is a voluntary standard or not. On the Synthetic Turf Council website, there is a document dated August 2015 that discusses the standard for metals. It uses the words “suggested” and “voluntary.” Is this accurate? I want to make sure I am characterizing this correctly. Thanks.

Jackie

Jacqueline McQueen
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (8104R)
Office of Research and Development
Office of Science Policy
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 564-6639

From: Rom Reddy [<mailto:romreddy@sprinturf.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2015 4:09 PM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>
Cc: Walt Sanders <wsanders@vmgthehill.com>
Subject: Question

Jackie, my name is Rom Reddy and I am the Managing Partner of Sprinturf—one of the 3 member Safe Field Alliance team. I have attached a test done by Labosport, a world renowned

lab that does all the tests for FIFA. As u can see from the test, the values compare the test for crumb rubber vs the very stringent European Union Toy standard. The US toy standard specifies a 100 ppm limit for one of the heavy metals---lead that has received the most attention. However, all the other heavy metals that are less critical than lead also test less than 100 ppm. If u combine the lead levels in the green blades and the lead levels in crumb rubber, they both test less than 100 ppm. Ditto for other heavy metals. The EPA guideline for these metals in urban soils is 400ppm. Hope this provides some clarity. These tests are duplicated by almost any other certified lab.

Rom Reddy